September 9, 1991 RADIOELE.ORD (NM:clt Introduced by:

Sullivan Pullen/Sims

Proposed No.: 91-698

ordinance no. 10093

AN ORDINANCE providing for the submission to the qualified electors of King County at a special election to be held in conjunction with the general election on November 5, 1991 of a proposition authorizing the issuance by King County of unlimited general obligation bonds in the principal amount not to exceed \$49,085,000, to provide funds for the development, acquisition and installation of a regional emergency radio communication system.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The council finds as follows:

Currently, many emergency radio communication systems within King County lack the capacity to manage normal daily operations and many others are unable to accommodate any growth in their existing operations. None of the existing systems could manage the additional radio traffic which would occur in the event of a large or widespread disaster. Communication links between jurisdictions are practically nonexistent, making coordination of a response to a major emergency difficult or impossible. Existing communication systems are also physically vulnerable to earthquakes or other natural Major dispatch centers currently have catastrophes. no backup facilities and if one of these dispatch centers is destroyed during a major disaster, emergency-911 response in the affected area would be drastically curtailed.

The emergency radio communication system (the "System") required by the county to meets its needs and the needs of the jurisdictions within King County and which is authorized to be acquired and installed by this ordinance represents recent technological advances which will allow an integrated emergency communications system to be implemented on a county-This new radio communication technology wide basis. will increase communication capacity, within each jurisdiction and also allow different governmental agencies throughout King County to communicate This technology should directly with each other. dramatically improve the ability of government agencies throughout King County to respond in a coordinated manner to a major disaster and would enhance the safety of front-line emergency response personnel. The new technology, will also provide a highly reliable communications network that is better able to withstand damage resulting from an earthquake, as well as backup dispatch communication capacity to be shared by all emergency response agencies within King County.

1

2

7 8 g

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17 18

19 20 21

22 23 24

25 26 27

28

29 30 31

32

33 34

35

36

37

11 12

13

21

22

33 34

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

48 49

47

51

50

53

52

The City of Seattle and the Port of Seattle, along with several other governmental entities, have considered acquisition of such systems on their own These systems include a microwave transmission network, an 800 MHz trunked two-way radio system, and related equipment, materials and These entities have prepared their services. procurement documents in such a manner as to allow participation in the acquisition and maintenance of such systems, if it occurs, by all other entities in King County needing such systems.

Access to the System may also be made available, as capacity allows, through contractual agreements with subregional management groups which may provide for reimbursement of all costs arising from the provision of such access, to other entities, including without limitation, city and county public works agencies, parks departments, animal control agencies, public schools, utilities, private hospitals, private ambulance services.

The System, which has been proposed for acquisition and installation, satisfies the above-described criteria and meets the needs of the county and the jurisdictions within King County. The proposed System contemplates an 800 megahertz "trunked" radio communications system, with compatible mobile and portable radios, and microwave transmission network. The components of the proposed System and cost estimates for such components are described in more detail in attachment 1 to this ordinance. Refinements to the proposed System and to its cost estimates may be necessary; however, the council is satisfied that the information available to it at this time is sufficient to submit a ballot proposition to the qualified electors of King County for their approval and that for the health, welfare, benefit, and safety of King County residents and front-line public safety personnel, enactment of this ordinance is necessary.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. Subject to the approval of the qualified electors of King County, the county council hereby authorizes the issuance of unlimited tax general obligation bonds (the "Bonds") in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$49,085,000 for the purpose of providing funds to pay the capital costs of the Emergency Radio Communication Project (the "Project"). The primary purpose of the Project is to design, acquire and install a fully integrated emergency radio communications network, together with the radio units necessary to provide emergency radio communications access among and to all police agencies authorized under the provisions of RCW Titles 35, 35A and 36 and all fire agencies authorized under the provisions of RCW Titles 35, 35A and 52 and public

emergency medical services and public hospital entities within King County.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The term "capital costs", as used herein, shall be construed consistent with the term "capital purposes" as it appears in Article VII, Section 2(b) of the Washington Constitution and R.C.W. 84.52.056, but subject thereto and without the replacement of any equipment, may include the costs of purchase and installation of equipment and material as part of the Project, the purchase of 800 megahertz trunked radio communication systems from cities within King County which have previously acquired such systems in whole or in part; Project planning, engineering, design and management; Project system integration and, to the extent legally permissible, implementation of the System. The term shall also include the costs of financial and legal services lawfully incurred incident to the Project and its development and financing, as well as costs related to the sale and issuance of the Bonds and the costs of debt service on the Bonds. The term shall also include the funding, refunding, financing or refinancing of debt already incurred by government agencies within King County to acquire components of the Project prior to the availability of Bond proceeds.

SECTION 2. If bonds are approved and issued the allocation of Bond proceeds for the Project shall be in amounts not to exceed the following:

For the year in which the bonds are first issued;

27	King County	\$ 950,969
28	Eastside Cities	650,563
29	Seattle	\$4,306,089
30	Valley Communications	\$2,947,018
31	Central Allocation	\$2,167,732
32	For the second year after bonds are first issued;	
33	King County	\$6,885,066
34	Eastside Cities	\$4,458,821
35	Seattle	\$4,369,122

	4	
1	Valley Communications	\$1,689,690
2	Central Allocation	\$1,620,135
3	For the third year after bonds are first issued;	
4	King County	\$4,095,238
5	Eastside Cities	\$3,135,319
6	Seattle	\$4,250,102
7	Valley Communications	\$959,292
8	Central Allocation	\$2,434,510
9	For the fourth year after bonds are first issued;	
10	King County	\$1,555,727
11	Eastside Cities	\$746,297
12	Seattle	\$1,670,687
13	Central Allocation	\$ 192,623
14	If the actual cost of the Project is lower than cu	rrently

If the actual cost of the Project is lower than currently estimated, the above amounts may be revised by the county to reflect the changes from the estimates.

It is the intent of the county to have each subregional management group implement and own their portion of the radio system and equipment funded by bond proceeds, consistent with interlocal cooperation agreements to be executed between the county and the subregional management groups. It is also the intent of the county that, subject to county approval, issues regarding project revisions, implementation, and operation of the network be addressed by the Regional Advisory Board.

Funds may be reallocated or the Project may be revised by the county, but only after it has asked for a recommendation from the Regional Advisory Board, established as described in Section 4, regarding revisions to the Project. In no case shall the primary purpose of the Project, as described in Section 1, be altered.

If actual Project costs are lower than currently estimated, the County may either reduce the amount of Bonds to be issued to support the Project, use Bond proceeds to retire Bonds already issued to support the Project, or apply Bond proceeds to provide enhancements to the System, which are

2

4

5

6

8

7

9 10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

compatible with the purpose of the Project. Any proposal for Project enhancement shall be treated as a proposal for Project revision and shall be subject to the procedure specified in this section for such Project revision.

SECTION 3. The county shall not be obligated to provide funding for the Project or Project enhancements beyond the proceeds of the Bonds issued as authorized in this ordinance.

SECTION 4. A Regional Advisory Board shall be appointed by the county legislative authority to advise the county regarding the distribution of Bond proceeds, Project revisions and other administrative matters. Representation on this board shall be equitably distributed among the subregional management Subregional management groups shall nominate their own representatives.

Not more than four subregional system management groups may be established to receive funds and manage portions of the Project as further delineated in interlocal cooperation agreements approved by the County.

SECTION 5. Bond proceeds shall be distributed in accordance with the allocation described in Section 2 above or as modified or revised pursuant to Section 2 above. Distribution of Bond proceeds other than to the County shall be pursuant to written interlocal cooperation agreements between the county and subregional management groups or other qualified public entities, which agreements shall define the rights and duties of the respective parties with respect to the administration of the Project and the use of Bond proceeds, including the timing of expenditures. These agreements shall encourage the establishment of replacement or maintenance and operation reserves from funds other than Bond proceeds to quarantee repair of and replacement of the radio equipment at the end of its useful life.

The County shall determine the manner in which federal arbitrage requirements relating to the bond proceeds will be satisfied.

SECTION 6. The Bonds shall bear such date or dates; shall mature at such time or times not to exceed 8 years from the date of the issuance thereof; shall be issued in such denominations; shall bear such terms, conditions and covenants; shall be in such form; shall bear interest at such fixed or variable rate or rates; shall bear such redemption and registration privileges; and shall be sold in such manner, at such time or times, in such amounts and at such price or prices as the county council shall hereafter determine by ordinance. The Bonds may be issued in one or more series, either separately or in combination with other authorized general obligation bonds of King County.

The Bonds shall be general obligations of King County and, unless paid from other sources, both the principal thereof and the interest thereon shall be payable from annual property tax levies, without limitation as to rate or amount, upon all taxable property within King County in excess of regular property tax levies.

SECTION 7. The county council finds that an urgent need exists for the Emergency Radio Communications Project and declares that an emergency exists requiring submission to the qualified electors of King County of a proposition authorizing the issuance of the Bonds and the levy of excess property taxes for the purposes described in this ordinance at a special election to be held in conjunction with the general election to be held on November 5, 1991.

The clerk of the council is hereby authorized and directed to certify said proposition to the King County manager of records and elections in substantially the following form, with such additions, deletions or modifications as may be required by the King County Prosecutor:

KING COUNTY EMERGENCY RADIO COMMUNICATIONS PROJECT 2 3 PROPOSITION NO. Shall King County finance a county-wide emergency radio 4 5 communications system, allowing police, fire and other emergency services throughout King County to communicate 6 directly with each other in emergencies by the issuance of 7 up to \$49,085,000 of unlimited tax general obligation 8 bonds with a maximum term of 8 years, payable from annual 9 property tax levies in excess of regular property tax 10 11 levies, as provided in King County Ordinance 12 BONDS, YES....[13 BONDS, NO[Certification of such proposition by the clerk of the 14 council to the King County manager of records and elections, in 15 accordance with law, prior to the date of such election on 16 November 5, 1991, and any other act consistent with the 17 authority of and prior to the effective date of this ordinance, 18 are hereby ratified and confirmed. 19 SECTION 8. Should any section, subsection, sentence, 20 clause or phrase of this ordinance be declared unconstitutional 21 or invalid for any reason, that determination shall not affect 22 the validity of the remaining parts of this ordinance. 23 INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 2024 25 day of Je PASSED this 26 KING COUNTY COUNCIL 27 KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON 28 29 ATTEST: 30 31 the Council 32 9 44 day of 1991. APPROVED this _ 33

Ring County Executive

34

Attachment One: Cost Allocation Methodology

Assumptions used in preparing the attached cost tables are as follows:

- 1. Cost figures are based on consultant recommendations with adjustments made to equalize the 3 subregional consultant reports.
- 2. One time capital expenditure for Countywide Public Safety Radio Networks with 800 MHz radio and transmission backbone are included. Capital funding for network infrastructure and public safety radios is also included.
- 3. Each subregion will own and implement the infrastructure within the subregion and each public safety agency within King County will be entitled to new radios.
- 4. The ongoing cost of network and radio maintenance and operations as well as site rental, will be shared in agency annual budgets.
- 5. MDT, AVL, laptop, data communications equipment for individual agencies are not part of the scope of the radio project. (But these technologies could use the spare capacity of the planned microwave backbone.)
- 6. Kent's citizens will only fund the 800 MHz trunked system once. An \$850,000 contingency will be used to purchase Kent's 800 MHz system if it is not fully compatible, or only partially compatible, with the regional system.
 - If Kent's system is fully compatible, these funds will remain in unallocated central contingency.
- 7. Two (2) network controllers are funded and will be placed in the most technically logical location and can be accessed fully by each of the subregional centers.

Subregional Management Group	King County	East Side Cities	Seattle	Valley Communications
Group				
A. Microwave 1. 8DS1 @ 130K each	7 \$ 910,000	\$520,000	2 \$ 260,000	
2. 4DSI @ 110K each	4 + 2 \$ 660,000			3 \$330,000
3. DS3 @ 150K each		\$ 300,000	1 \$ 150,000	
3. Fiber Link @ 50,000 each	1 \$ 50,000		\$ 100,000	
C. Site Improvement	\$ 490,000	\$ 306,000	\$ 220,000	\$110,000
D. Transmission Net-	\$ 250,000	>	\$ 250,000	Incl. in KC
work Management System Loop Equip- ment	\$170,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$30,000
Subtotal	\$2,530,000	\$1,226,000	\$1,080,000	\$ 470,000
I. Public Safety Radio				
A. Portable and Mobile @ \$2,300 each	2,526 \$ 5,809,800	1,368 \$3,146,400	2,890 \$6,647,000	1,056 \$2,428,800
3. Portable Accessary @ \$300 each	274 \$82,200	300 \$90,000	550 \$1 65,000	226 \$ 67,800
Subtotal	\$5,892,000	\$3,236,400	\$6,812,000	\$2,496,600

			100	93
Subregional Management Group	King County	East Side Cities	Seattle	Valley Communications
III. 800 MHz Infrastructure		.		
A. Base Station @ \$35,000 each	36 \$1,260,000	58 \$2,030,000	81 \$2,835,000	21 \$ 735,000
B. Misc. Receivers	\$200,000			
Subtotal	\$1,460,000	\$2,030,000	\$2,835,000	\$735,000
*Kent has 2 sites with 6 base state. IV. Communications Center.				
A. P.S. Control Consoles @ 30,000 each	24 \$720,000	12 \$360,000	13 \$390,000	7 \$210,000
B. P.S. RF Control Stations @ 6,000 each	18 \$108,000	27 \$162,000	40 \$240,000	34 \$204,000
C. Desk Top				
D. Network Access Terminals @ 20,000 each	2 \$40,000	4 \$80,000	\$80,000	2 \$40,000
Subtotal	\$868,000	\$602,000	\$710,000	\$454,000
P.S. Grand Total	\$10,750,000	\$7,094,400	\$11,437,000	\$4,155,600

Subregional - Management Group	King County	East Side Cities	L Seattle	Valley Communications
Grand Total P.S.	\$10,750,000	\$7,094,400	\$11,437,000	\$4,155,600
System Integration	\$1,075,000	\$709,440	\$1,143,700	\$415,560
Architectural, Design, Structural Engineering, Soil Testing	\$150,000	\$100,000	\$150,000	\$30,000
Radio Engineering Design	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$120,000	\$60,000
Implementation Support	\$150,000	\$150,000	<u>\$150,000</u>	<u>\$150,000</u>
Subtotal	\$12,185,000	\$8,113,840	\$13,000,700	\$4,811,160
Contingency	\$1,218,500	\$811,384	\$1,300,070	\$481,116
Subtotal	\$13,403,500	\$8,925,224	\$14,300,770	\$5,292,276
Subtotal plus sales tax @ 8.2%	\$14,502,580	\$9,657,089	\$15,473,429	\$5,726,239

Total Bond Calculation:

King	\$14,502,580
ES	\$ 9,675,089
Seattle	\$15,473,429
ValleyCom	\$ 5,726,239
County-Wide	
Contingency (5%)	\$ 2,267,966
Kent	
Contingency	\$ 850,000
2 Network	
Controllers	\$ 1,500,000
Regional Backup	
Dispatch	
Center	\$ 2,000,000
DAND TOTAL	\$51 077 303

			King County	East Side Cities	100	03
		Unallocated		Lust oldo Ollos		Valley
		Offallocated			Seattle	Communications
992	(Engineering)		309,452	249,942	380,864	166,628
	(Microwave)		641,517	400,621	1,413,956	615,333
	(Network)	750,000	••		1,237,213	481,137
	(Console)			•	1,201,210	594,385
	(Radio)	•			1,274,056	1,089,534
	(Kent Cont.)	850,000			1,2, 1,000	1,000,004
	Debt Service	122,000				
	Contingency	422,732				
	Outrast					
	Subtotal	\$2,155,732	950,969	650,563	4,306,089	2,947,017
					•	
993	(Engineering)		119,020	119,020	119,020	119,020
	(Microwave)		2,670,808	1,204,482	-	
	(Network)	750,000	955,730	1,328,858	1,237,212	482,138
	(Console)		568,201	394,075	464,773	
	(Radio)		2,571,307	1,412,386	2,548,117	1,089,532
	Contingency	870,135		•		
	Subtotal	1,620,135	6,885,066	4,458,821	4,369,122	1,689,690
20.4	/A1-At->		055 700	1 000 000		
994	(Network)		955,730	1,328,858	1,237,212	
	(Console) (Radio)		568,201 2,571,307	394,075	464,773	4 000 500
	(Contin-	628,510	2,5/1,50/	1,412,386	2,548,117	1,089,532
		020,510				
	(Backup					
	Dispatch	2,000,000				
	Center)	2,000,000				
	Subtotal	2,628,510	4,095,238	3,135,319	4,250,102	1,089,532
					•	
)0F	(Radio)		2,571,307	1,412,386	2,548,116	
793		226 500	2,3/1,30/	1,412,300	۵,5 4 0,110	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Contingency	326,590				
	TOTAL	6,730,967	14,502,580	9,657,089	15,473,429	5,726,239

			NOV O	OR AR ALLIN	HOATIO	NO DOM	n			
	· t		ENCY C		IICATIOI	N2 ROM	U	•		
SSUMPTIONS										
CCCCCD VALUATION CHONGLI (OICNINIAL)	5.00%									
SSESSED VALUATION GROWTH (BIENNIAL)	5.00%	4.								
SSESSED VALUATION NEW CONST	2.50%							A second		
ITEREST RATE DEBT SERVICE	5.72%									
ITEREST RATE INVESTMENT	5.72%									
XPENDITURE SCHEDULE		1992	1993	1994	1995	TOTAL				
ING COUNTY		\$950,969	\$6,885,066	\$4,095,238	\$2,571,307	\$14,502,580				
AST SIDE		\$650,563	\$4,458,821	\$3,135,319	\$1,412,386	\$9,657,089				
EATTLE"		\$4,306,089	\$4,369,122	\$4,250,102	\$2,548,116	\$15,473,429				
ALLEYCOM		\$2,947,018	\$1,689,690	\$1,089,533	\$0	\$5,726,241				
NALLOCATED		\$1,600,000	\$750,000	\$2,000,000	\$0	\$4,350,000				
SUBTOTAL		10,454,639	18,152,699	14,570,192	6,531,809	\$49,709,339				
ONTINGENCY		442,732	870,135	628,510	326,590	\$2,287,967				
EBT ISSUANCE		\$125,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$125,000				
	-	5,25,25			· .	7,25,550				
OTAL		\$11,022,371	\$19,022,834	\$15,198,702	\$6,858,399	\$52,102,308		ending the second		
YEAR	. 0	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	
EBT SIZING										
OND PROCEEDS	\$49,085,000					TOTAL				
IADIO SYSTEM PAYMENTS		\$11,022,371	\$19,022,834	\$15,198,702	\$8,858,399	52,102,308	for the property of			
NVESTMENT EARNINGS		\$1,474,254	\$1,172,598	\$370,858	\$23	3,017,731				
IADIO FUND BALANCE		\$39,536,883	\$21,686,848	\$8,858,802	\$425					
										
EBT PROCEEDS ALLOCATION										
	TOTAL	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4					
ING COUNTY	13,487,000	950,969	6,885,066	4,095,238	1,555,727					
AST SIDE	8,991,000	650,563	4,458,821	3,135,319	746,297					
EATTLE	14,596,000	4,306,089	4,389,122	4,250,102	1,670,687					
ALLEYCOM	5,596,000	2,947,018	1,689,690	959,292	0					
ENTRAL ALLOCATION:		2,947,018	1,689,690	959,292	0					
ENTRAL ALLOCATION: UNALLOCATED	4,156,000	2,947,018	1,689,690	959,292	0					
ENTRAL ALLOCATION:		2,947,018	1,689,690	959,292	0					
ENTRAL ALLOCATION: UNALLOCATED	4,156,000	2,947,018	1,689,690	959,292	0					
ENTRAL ALLOCATION: UNALLOCATED CONTINGENCY- DEBT ISSUANCE	4,156,000 2,134,000 125,000									
ENTRAL ALLOCATION: UNALLOCATED CONTINGENCY- DEBT ISSUANCE CENTRAL TOTAL	4,156,000 2,134,000 125,000 6,415,000	2,167,732	1,620,135	2,434,510	192,623					
ENTRAL ALLOCATION: UNALLOCATED CONTINGENCY- DEBT ISSUANCE CENTRAL TOTAL	4,156,000 2,134,000 125,000									
ENTRAL ALLOCATION: UNALLOCATED CONTINGENCY- DEBT ISSUANCE CENTRAL TOTAL	4,156,000 2,134,000 125,000 6,415,000	2,167,732 \$11,022,371	1,620,135	2,434,510	192,623					
ENTRAL ALLOCATION: UNALLOCATED CONTINGENCY- DEBT ISSUANCE CENTRAL TOTAL	4,156,000 2,134,000 125,000 6,415,000	2,167,732	1,620,135	2,434,510	192,623	1996	1997	1998	1999	
CENTRAL ALLOCATION: UNALLOCATED CONTINGENCY- DEBT ISSUANCE CENTRAL TOTAL DEBT SERVICE CASH FLOW	4,156,000 2,134,000 125,000 6,415,000	2,167,732 \$11,022,371	1,620,135 \$19,022,834	2,434,510 \$14,874,461 1994	192,623 \$4 ,165,335					
CONTINGENCY DEBT ISSUANCE CENTRAL TOTAL DEBT SERVICE CASH FLOW COTAL DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS	4,156,000 2,134,000 125,000 6,415,000	2,167,732 \$11,022,371 1992 \$7,815,860	1,620,135 \$19,022,834 1993 \$7,815,860	2,434,510 \$14,674,461 1994 \$7,815,860	192,623 \$4,165,335 1995 \$7,815,860	\$7,815,860	\$7,815,860	\$ 7,815,860	\$ 7,815,860	\$62,526,87
CENTRAL ALLOCATION: UNALLOCATED CONTINGENCY- DEBT ISSUANCE CENTRAL TOTAL COTAL DEBT SERVICE CASH FLOW COTAL DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS PRINCIPAL	4,156,000 2,134,000 125,000 6,415,000	2,167,732 \$11,022,371 1992 \$7,815,860 \$5,010,125	1,620,135 \$19,022,834 1993 \$7,815,860 \$5,296,507	2,434,510 \$14,874,461 1994 \$7,815,660 \$5,599,260	192,623 \$4,165,335 1995 \$7,815,860 \$5,919,317	\$7,815,860 \$6,257,670	\$7,815,860 \$6,615,363	\$7,815,860 \$6,993,502	\$7,815,860 \$7,393,256	TOT/ \$62,526,87 \$49,085,00
ENTRAL ALLOCATION: UNALLOCATED CONTINGENCY- DEBT ISSUANCE CENTRAL TOTAL OTAL OTAL OTAL OTAL DEBT SERVICE CASH FLOW OTAL DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS PRINCIPAL INTEREST	4,156,000 2,134,000 125,000 6,415,000	2,167,732 \$11,022,371 1992 \$7,815,860 \$5,010,125 \$2,805,735	1,620,135 \$19,022,834 1993 \$7,815,860 \$5,296,507 \$2,519,352	2,434,510 \$14,874,461 1994 \$7,815,860 \$5,599,260 \$2,216,600	192,623 \$4,165,335 1995 \$7,815,860 \$5,919,317 \$1,896,542	\$7,815,860 \$6,257,670 \$1,558,190	\$7,815,860 \$6,615,363 \$1,200,497	\$7,815,860 \$6,993,502 \$822,358	\$7,815,860 \$7,393,256 \$422,604	\$62,526,87
EENTRAL ALLOCATION: UNALLOCATED CONTINGENCY- DEBT ISSUANCE CENTRAL TOTAL OTAL OTAL OTAL OTAL DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS PRINCIPAL	4,156,000 2,134,000 125,000 6,415,000	2,167,732 \$11,022,371 1992 \$7,815,860 \$5,010,125	1,620,135 \$19,022,834 1993 \$7,815,860 \$5,296,507	2,434,510 \$14,874,461 1994 \$7,815,660 \$5,599,260	192,623 \$4,165,335 1995 \$7,815,860 \$5,919,317	\$7,815,860 \$6,257,670	\$7,815,860 \$6,615,363	\$7,815,860 \$6,993,502	\$7,815,860 \$7,393,256	\$62,526,87 \$49,085,00
ENTRAL ALLOCATION: UNALLOCATED CONTINGENCY- DEBT ISSUANCE CENTRAL TOTAL OTAL OTAL OTAL OTAL DEBT SERVICE CASH FLOW OTAL DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS PRINCIPAL INTEREST	4,156,000 2,134,000 125,000 6,415,000	2,167,732 \$11,022,371 1992 \$7,815,860 \$5,010,125 \$2,805,735	1,620,135 \$19,022,834 1993 \$7,815,860 \$5,296,507 \$2,519,352	2,434,510 \$14,874,461 1994 \$7,815,860 \$5,599,260 \$2,216,600	192,623 \$4,165,335 1995 \$7,815,860 \$5,919,317 \$1,896,542	\$7,815,860 \$6,257,670 \$1,558,190	\$7,815,860 \$6,615,363 \$1,200,497	\$7,815,860 \$6,993,502 \$822,358	\$7,815,860 \$7,393,256 \$422,604	\$62,526,87 \$49,085,00
ENTRAL ALLOCATION: UNALLOCATED CONTINGENCY- DEBT ISSUANCE CENTRAL TOTAL OTAL OTAL	4,156,000 2,134,000 125,000 6,415,000	2,167,732 \$11,022,371 1992 \$7,815,860 \$5,010,125 \$2,805,735	1,620,135 \$19,022,834 1993 \$7,815,860 \$5,296,507 \$2,519,352	2,434,510 \$14,874,461 1994 \$7,815,860 \$5,599,260 \$2,216,600	192,623 \$4,165,335 1995 \$7,815,860 \$5,919,317 \$1,896,542	\$7,815,860 \$6,257,670 \$1,558,190	\$7,815,860 \$6,615,363 \$1,200,497	\$7,815,860 \$6,993,502 \$822,358	\$7,815,860 \$7,393,256 \$422,604	\$62,526,87 \$49,085,00
CENTRAL ALLOCATION: UNALLOCATED CONTINGENCY- DEBT ISSUANCE CENTRAL TOTAL OTAL OTAL OTAL DEBT SERVICE CASH FLOW OTAL DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS PRINCIPAL INTEREST	4,156,000 2,134,000 125,000 6,415,000	2,167,732 \$11,022,371 1992 \$7,815,860 \$5,010,125 \$2,805,735 \$44,074,875	1,620,135 \$19,022,834 1993 \$7,815,860 \$5,296,507 \$2,519,352 \$38,778,368	2,434,510 \$14,674,461 1994 \$7,815,860 \$5,599,280 \$2,216,600 \$33,179,108	192,623 \$4,165,335 1995 \$7,815,860 \$5,919,317 \$1,896,542 \$27,259,791	\$7,815,860 \$6,257,670 \$1,558,190 \$21,002,121	\$7,815,860 \$6,615,363 \$1,200,497 \$14,386,758	\$7,815,860 \$6,993,502 \$822,358 \$7,393,256	\$7,815,860 \$7,393,256 \$422,604 \$0	\$62,526,87 \$49,085,00
CENTRAL ALLOCATION: UNALLOCATED CONTINGENCY- DEBT ISSUANCE CENTRAL TOTAL OCTAL OCTA	4,156,000 2,134,000 125,000 6,415,000 \$49,085,000	2,167,732 \$11,022,371 1992 \$7,815,860 \$5,010,125 \$2,805,735 \$44,074,875	1,620,135 \$19,022,834 1993 \$7,815,860 \$5,296,507 \$2,519,352 \$38,778,368	2,434,510 \$14,674,461 1994 \$7,815,860 \$5,599,280 \$2,216,600 \$33,179,108	192,623 \$4,165,335 1995 \$7,815,860 \$5,919,317 \$1,896,542 \$27,259,791	\$7,815,860 \$6,257,670 \$1,558,190 \$21,002,121	\$7,815,860 \$6,615,363 \$1,200,497 \$14,386,758	\$7,815,860 \$6,993,502 \$822,358 \$7,393,256	\$7,815,860 \$7,393,256 \$422,604 \$0	\$62,526,87 \$49,085,00 \$13,441,87
CENTRAL ALLOCATION: UNALLOCATED CONTINGENCY- DEBT ISSUANCE CENTRAL TOTAL OTAL OTAL OTAL DEBT SERVICE CASH FLOW OTAL DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS PRINCIPAL INTEREST REMAINING PRINCIPAL EXCESS LEVY RATE	4,156,000 2,134,000 125,000 6,415,000 \$49,085,000	2,167,732 \$11,022,371 1992 \$7,815,860 \$5,010,125 \$2,805,735 \$44,074,875	1,620,135 \$19,022,834 1993 \$7,815,860 \$5,296,507 \$2,519,352 \$38,778,368	2,434,510 \$14,874,461 1994 \$7,815,860 \$5,599,280 \$2,216,600 \$33,179,108	192,623 \$4,165,335 1995 \$7,815,860 \$5,919,317 \$1,896,542 \$27,259,791	\$7,815,860 \$6,257,670 \$1,558,190 \$21,002,121	\$7,815,860 \$6,815,363 \$1,200,497 \$14,388,758	\$7,815,860 \$6,993,502 \$822,358 \$7,393,256	\$7,815,860 \$7,393,256 \$422,604 \$0	\$62,526,87 \$49,085,00 \$13,441,87
CENTRAL ALLOCATION: UNALLOCATED CONTINGENCY- DEBT ISSUANCE CENTRAL TOTAL OCTAL OCTA	4,156,000 2,134,000 125,000 6,415,000 \$49,085,000	2,167,732 \$11,022,371 1992 \$7,815,860 \$5,010,125 \$2,805,735 \$44,074,875	1,620,135 \$19,022,834 1993 \$7,815,860 \$5,296,507 \$2,519,352 \$38,778,368	2,434,510 \$14,674,461 1994 \$7,815,860 \$5,599,280 \$2,216,600 \$33,179,108	192,623 \$4,165,335 1995 \$7,815,860 \$5,919,317 \$1,896,542 \$27,259,791 1995 \$122,028,713	\$7,815,860 \$6,257,670 \$1,558,190 \$21,002,121 1998 \$125,079,431	\$7,815,880 \$6,815,383 \$1,200,497 \$14,388,758	\$7,815,860 \$6,993,502 \$822,358 \$7,393,256 1998 \$137,821,898	\$7,815,860 \$7,393,256 \$422,604 \$0 1999 \$148,158,540	\$62,526,87 \$49,085,00 \$13,441,87